arXiv:1612.09541v1 [math.AP] 30 Dec 2016

The global existence and time-decay for the solution of the fractional pseudo-parabolic equation *

Lingyu Jin, Lang Li and Shaomei Fang

Department of Mathematics, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642,China

January 2, 2017

Abstract: We consider the Cauchy problem of fractional pseudo-parabolic equation on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 1$. Here, the fractional order α is related to the diffusion-type source term behaving as the usual diffusion term on the high frequency part. It has a feature of regularity-gain and regularity-loss for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha > 1$, respectively. We establish the global existence and time-decay rates for small-amplitude classical solutions to the Cauchy problem for $\alpha > 0$. In the case that $0 < \alpha < 1$, we introduce the time-weighted energy method to overcome the weakly dissipative property of the equation.

Keywords: time-decay; fractional pseudo-parabolic equation; regularity-loss.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem of the following fractional pseudo-parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - m\Delta u_t + (-\Delta)^\alpha u = u^{\theta+1}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

*Research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11101160,11271141).

For $m > 0, \alpha = 1$, (1.1) is called the pseudo-parabolic equation (refer to [29],[27]) since the solution of the initial-boundary value problem for a parabolic equation can be obtained as the the limit of some sequence of solutions of the Cauchy problems for the corresponding pseudo-parabolic equations. In addition, the initial-boundary value problem for the case $m = 0$ is also well-posed for the pseudo-parabolic equation (1.1). Furthermore, equation (1.1) can be regarded as a Sobolev-type or a Sobolev-Galpern type equation. A large amount of physical phenomena such as seepage of homogeneous fluids through a fissured rock([2]), aggregation of populations ([22]) can be described by pseudo-parabolic equations. Ting, Showalter and Gopala Rao (refer to [27], [29], [11]) investigated the initial-boundary value problem and established the existence and uniqueness of solutions. From then on, considerable attention has been paid to the study of nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equations, including singular pseudo-parabolic equations and degenerate pseudo-parabolic equations (see [1], [5], [10], [16], [19], [21], [22], [23], [26]). Due to the fractional dissipation operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ is nonlocal and can be regarded as the infinitesimal generators of Levy stable diffusion processes, many scientists have found it describes some physical phenomena more exact than integral differential equations(refer to [25], [12], [31], [18], [24]). More and more work has been devoted to the investigation of fractional differential equations([4], [12], [25], [32]). Motivated by these results, we will mainly study the fractional pseudo-parabolic equations (1.1). Obviously, the equation (1.1) is of the regularity-gain type for $\alpha > 1$, and whereas of the regularity-loss type for $0 < \alpha < 1$. For the systems with regularity-loss structure, a large amount of results has been established (refer to [8], [9], [14]).

In this paper, we consider the fractional pseudo-parabolic equations for $\alpha > 0$. The main purpose is to obtain the well-posedness and large-time behavior of the Cauchy problem (1.1) for small-amplitude classical solutions. We establish the global existence of solutions and the time-decay rate of solutions and their derivatives up to some order, where the extra regularity on initial data is required in the case of the regularity-loss

type.

We now introduce some notations.

In what follows, we denote generic positive constants by c and C which may change from line to line. The Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ of a tempered distribution $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}[f(x)](\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{R^n} f(x)e^{-i\xi\cdot x}dx.$$

We will denote the square root of the Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by Λ and obviously

$$\widehat{\Lambda f}(x) = |\xi|\hat{f}(\xi).$$

Let $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1+|\xi|^2)^s|\hat{f}|^2d\xi.$$

For $s=0$, $H^0 = L^2$. Obviously H^s is the Banach space, and with the inner product

$$(f,g)_{H^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\hat{f}(\xi)\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}(1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi.$$

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem (1.1) under some conditions on θ, α, n, we are mostly interested in the large time behavior of the solutions.

Now we introduce the main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > \frac{n}{2},\ \alpha \geq 1, \theta > \frac{4\alpha}{n}, \theta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $E_0 = \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$. Then there exists a small positive constant δ_0 such that for $E_0 \leq \delta_0$, problem (1.1) has a global solution satisfying the following decay estimate*

$$\|\Lambda^l u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq cE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}},\ \forall\ 0 \leq l \leq s.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\theta > \frac{4\alpha}{n}, \theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and*

$$s \geq l+\beta_1, ([\frac{s}{2\bar{\alpha}}]-1)\bar{\alpha} \geq \max\{\beta_1 + l - 2, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}\}.$$

Let $E_0 = \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$ *for* $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then there exists a small positive constant* δ_0 *such that for* $E_0 \leq \delta_0$, *(1.1) has a global solution satisfying*

$$\|\Lambda^l u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq cE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}$$

with $\bar{\alpha} = 1-\alpha, \beta_1 = \bar{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{l}{\alpha})$.

The time-decay rate in the case of $\alpha < 1$ is obtained for u and its lower-order derivatives only, but not for all derivatives up to s, whereas in the case of $\alpha \geq 1$ is obtained for all derivatives up to s. The difference result from the special feature of the equation (1.1) is of the regularity-gain type for $\alpha > 1$ while the regularity-loss type for $0 < \alpha < 1$. For the regularity-loss type ($0 < \alpha < 1$), we mainly take the time-weighted energy method to overcome the weakly dissipative property of the equation (refer to [8], [9], [14]). In addition, multiplying (1.1) by u and integrating,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + m\|\Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \|\Lambda^{\alpha/2}u\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{\theta+2}dx, \tag{1.2}$$

since the special structure of (1.2), we can not have an estimate of the lower order term $\|u\|_{L^2}$ as usual. Thus the right side of (1.2) can not be controlled by standard energy method. We employ the approach of a long wave and short wave method (refer to [7]) to overcome this difficulty. The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, we establish some decay estimates for the linearized system. Finally we get decay estimates for the solution of (1.1) for $\alpha \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ respectively, thus the global existence of the solutions in both cases is proved in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *(Refer to [15], [28]) Assume that* $1 \le p, q, r \le +\infty, \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ *and* $g\ , h \in W^{l,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \bigcap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, then*

$$\|\Lambda^l(gh)\|_{L^r} \le C(\|g\|_{L^p}\|\Lambda^l h\|_{L^q} + \|\Lambda^l g\|_{L^q}\|h\|_{L^p}). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2. *(Galiardo-Nirenberg inequality, [13, 30]) Suppose that* $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, where* $1 \le q, r \le \infty$*. Then there exists a constant* $C > 0$*, such that*

$$\|D^j u\|_{L^p} \le C\|D^m u\|_{L^r}^a \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-a}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + a\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{n}\right) + (1-a)\frac{1}{q},$$

$1 \le p \le \infty$*,* j *is an integer,* $0 \le j \le m, j/m \le a \le 1$*. If* $m - j - n/r$ *is a nonnegative integer, then the inequality holds for* $j/m \le a < 1$*.*

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that* $u \in H^l(\mathbb{R}^n) \bigcap L^\infty$*, then*

$$\|\Lambda^l(u^{\theta+1})\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}, \forall\, \theta > 0, \theta \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we have (2.3) for $\theta = 1$. If (2.3) holds for $\theta = k$, then

$$\|\Lambda^l(u^k u)\|_{L^2} \le C\|u\|_{L^\infty}^k \|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then for $\theta = k + 1$,

$$\|\Lambda^l(u^{k+1}u)\|_{L^2} \le C(\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{k+1}\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^l u^{k+1}\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^\infty}) \le c\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{k+1}\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Using an induction argument, we have (2.3) for the general case. □

3 Decay estimates for the linearized system

In this section, we study the decay property of solutions to the linearized equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - m\Delta u_t + (-\Delta)^\alpha u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Applying the Fourier transform to (3.1), we arrive at the expression

$$\hat{u}(t,\xi) = e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2}t}\hat{u}_0(\xi).$$

Define the Green function of the equation (3.1) as

$$G(x,t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2}t}.$$

Next we are going to obtain some properties of the Green function $G(x,t)$. Let

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \le R, \\ \\ 0, & |\xi| \ge 2R \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

be a smooth cut-off function for some fixed constant $0 < R < 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_L(\xi,t) = \chi(\xi)\hat{G}(\xi,t), \;\; G_L(x,t) = \chi(D)G(x,t), \\ \hat{G}_H(\xi,t) = (1-\chi(\xi))\hat{G}(\xi,t), G_H(x,t) = (1-\chi(D))G(x,t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\chi(D)$ is the operator with the symbol $\chi(\xi)$.

Now we give the decay estimates for the Green function.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\alpha > 0$, $l > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$\|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\|\phi\|_{L^2}, \;\; \forall \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\|\phi\|_{L^1}, \;\; \forall \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. For $|\xi| \le R$, we have

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2} \ge c|\xi|^{2\alpha}, \tag{3.6}$$

then

$$e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2}t} \le e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\sup_{|\xi|\le R} |\xi|^{2l} e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} \le C(1+t)^{-l/\alpha}, \;\; \text{for } t \le 1,$$

and

$$\sup_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}\le C\sup_{\eta\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\eta|^{2l}e^{-c|\eta|^{2\alpha}}t^{-l/\alpha}\le C(1+t)^{-l/\alpha},\ \text{ for } t>1,$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}\le C(1+t)^{-l/\alpha},\ \text{ for } t>0. \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.7) and (3.8), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \int_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}|\hat{\phi}|^2d\xi\\ &\le \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty}^2\int_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}d\xi\\ &\le c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{l}{\alpha}}\|\phi\|_{L^1}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, from (3.8), we can also have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \int_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}|\hat{\phi}|^2d\xi\\ &\le \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2}^2\sup_{|\xi|\le R}|\xi|^{2l}e^{-c|\xi|^{2\alpha}t}\\ &\le c(1+t)^{-\frac{l}{\alpha}}\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *If $\alpha\ge 1$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^l G_H(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^q}\le e^{-Ct}\|\Lambda^{l+n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta}\phi\|_{L^r},\ \textit{for}\ 1\le r<2, q>2, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\|\Lambda^l G_H(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^2}\le e^{-Ct}\|\Lambda^l\phi\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\delta>0$ is any arbitrary constant, $l>0$.

If $0<\alpha<1$, then

$$\|\Lambda^l G_H(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^q}\le (1+t)^{-\frac{\beta}{2\bar{\alpha}}}\|\Lambda^{\beta+l+n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta}\phi\|_{L^r},\ \textit{for}\ 1\le r<2, q>2, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\|\Lambda^l G_H(x,t)*\phi\|_{L^2}\le (1+t)^{-\frac{\beta}{2\bar{\alpha}}}\|\Lambda^{\beta+l}\phi\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\delta>0$ is any arbitrary constant, $\beta>0$, $l>0$, $\bar{\alpha}=1-\alpha$.

Proof. In the high frequency region for $|\xi| \geq 2R$, we have

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2} \geq c|\xi|^{2\alpha-2}, \tag{3.15}$$

where c is a positive constant depending on m.

1) For $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2}t} \leq e^{-ct}, \tag{3.16}$$

where c is a positive constant depending on R, m. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l G_H * \phi\|_{L^q} &\leq ce^{-ct}\Big(\int_{|\xi|\geq 2R}\Big||\xi|^l\hat{\phi}(\xi)\Big|^{q'}d\xi\Big)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
&\leq ce^{-ct}\||\xi|^{-(n+\bar{\delta})\frac{r'-q'}{r'q'}}\|_{L^{\frac{r'q'}{r'-q'}}(|\xi|\geq 2R)}\|_{|\xi|^{l+(n+\bar{\delta})\frac{r'-q'}{r'q'}}\hat{\phi}\|_{L^{r'}}} \\
&\leq ce^{-ct}\|\Lambda^{l+n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta}\phi\|_{L^r}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for $1 \leq r \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r'}=1$, $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q'}=1$, $\delta=(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})\bar{\delta}$, $\bar{\delta}>0$ is an arbitrary constant. In addition, if $q=2, r=2$,

$$\|\Lambda^l G_H * \phi\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-ct}\||\xi|^l\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-ct}\|\Lambda^l\phi\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.18}$$

2) For $0<\alpha<1$, from (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l G_H * \phi\|_{L^2} &\leq \||\xi|^l e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2\alpha}}{1+|\xi|^2}t}\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(|\xi|\geq 2R)} \\
&\leq \||\xi|^l e^{-c|\xi|^{-2(1-\alpha)}t}\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(|\xi|\geq 2R)} \\
&\leq \sup_{|\xi|\geq 2R}|\xi|^{-\beta}e^{-c|\xi|^{-2\bar{\alpha}}t}\Big(\int_{|\xi|\geq 2R}(|\xi|^{\beta+l}\hat{\phi})^2 d\xi\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (1+t)^{-\frac{\beta}{2\bar{\alpha}}}\||\xi|^{\beta+l}\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(|\xi|\geq 2R)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

then (3.14) is established. Similar to (3.17), we have (3.13). □

4 The global existence and time-decay of the solutions

Firstly, we consider the estimate for the short wave part $u_H(t)$ and the long wave part $u_L(t)$. Based on the Fourier transform and (3.2), we can define the long wave and

short wave decomposition $\big(g_L(x,t), g_H(x,t)\big)$ for a function $g(x,t)$.

$$g_L(x,t) = \chi(D)g(x,t),\ g_H(x) = (1-\chi(D))g(x,t),$$

where $\chi(x)$ is the operator with the symbol $\chi(\xi)$. The long wave part $g_L(x,t)$ and the short wave part $g_H(x,t)$ satisfy

$$\|\Lambda^l g_L\|_{L^2} \le C(l)\|g_L\|_{L^2},\ \|g_H\|_{L^2} \le C(l)\|\Lambda^l g_H\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

Obviously, the short wave part $g_H(x,t)$ satisfies a Poincare-like inequality. Now the solution of (1.1) can be divided into two parts by long wave and short wave decomposition:

$$u(x,t) = u_H(x,t) + u_L(x,t).$$

In the following we give some estimates for the cases $\alpha \ge 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ respectively.

4.1 a priori estimates for $\alpha \ge 1$

Note that the Duhamel principle implies that the solution of (1.1) satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(t) = G(x,t) * u_0 + \int_0^t G(x,t-\tau)B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}(\tau)\big)d\tau, \tag{4.2}$$

where $B = I - m\Delta$.

Now we estimate $u_L(x,t)$. For $\alpha > 0$, from (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_L(x,t) &= G_L(x,t) * u_0 + \int_0^t G_L(t-\tau) * B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau \\ &= G_L(x,t) * u_0 + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} G_L(t-\tau) * B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t G_L(t-\tau) * B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau \\ &= I_0 + I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Define

$$M_1(t)^2 = \sup_{0\le l\le s}\sup_{0\le y\le t}(1+y)^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{l}{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^l u(y)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.4}$$

According to the assumptions $s > \frac{n}{2}$ and $0 \le l \le s$, choosing $l > \frac{n}{2}$, it yields

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{1-a}\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}^{a} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}} M_1(t), \tag{4.5}$$

where $0 < a < 1$. And from Lemma 2.3, Lemma 3.1, and $\frac{n\theta}{4\alpha} > 1$, it gives

$$\|\Lambda^l I_0\|_{L^2} = \|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t) * u_0\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\|u_0\|_{L^1}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l I_1\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t-\tau) * B^{-1}(u^{\theta+1})\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta-1} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+\frac{t}{2})^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(\theta+1)n}{4\alpha}} M_1(t/2)^{\theta+1} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_1(t)^{\theta+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l I_2\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|G_L(x,t-\tau) * (\Lambda^l(u^{\theta+1}))\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta} \|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}(\theta+1)-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_1(t)^{\theta+1} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n\theta}{4\alpha}} M_1(t)^{\theta+1} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_1(t)^{\theta+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we arrive at

$$\|\Lambda^l u_L\| \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \big(\|u_0\|_{L^1} + M_1(t)^{\theta+1}\big). \tag{4.9}$$

Now we give some estimates about $u_H(x,t)$. Applying $1-\chi(D)$ to both sides of (1.1), it gives

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_H}{\partial t} - m\frac{\partial \Delta u_H}{\partial t} + (-\Delta)^\alpha u_H = (1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ u_H(0,x) = u_{H0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Assume

$$M_1(t) < \varepsilon, \tag{4.11}$$

then $\|u\|_{L^\infty} < c\varepsilon$.

Multiplying (4.10) by u_H, and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n$, it yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + m\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \|\Lambda^\alpha u_H\|_{L^2}^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}u_H dx. \tag{4.12}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}u_H dx &\le \|(1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}\|_{L^2}\|u_H\|_{L^2} \\
&\le c\|(1-\chi(D))\Lambda u^{\theta+1}\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2} \\
&\le c\|\Lambda u^{\theta+1}\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2} \\
&\le c\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta}(\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda u_L\|_{L^2})\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2} \\
&\le c\varepsilon^\theta(\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda u_L\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

choosing $\varepsilon << 1$, from (4.1), (4.12) and (4.13) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + m\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big) + C\big(\|u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + m\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big) \le c\|\Lambda u_L\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then by Gronwall's inequality, (4.9) and (4.11), we have for $0 \le l \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\le& c\|\Lambda u_H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\le& ce^{-Ct}\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + c\int_0^t e^{-C(t-\tau)}\|\Lambda u_L\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\
\le& ce^{-Ct}\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + c\int_0^t e^{-C(t-\tau)}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\Big(\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2 + M_1(t)^{2(\theta+1)}\Big)d\tau \\
\le& c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\Big(\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^1}^2 + M_1(t)^{2(\theta+1)}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Applying Λ^{l-1} to (4.10), and multiplying by $\Lambda^{l-1}u_H$, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $l \ge 1$,

from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}^2+m\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big)+\|\Lambda^{l-\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\\
\leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Lambda^{l-1}((1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1})\Lambda^{l-1}u_H dx\\
\leq&\|\Lambda^{l-1}((1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1})\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&\|\Lambda^{l}(u^{\theta+1})\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^{l}u_H\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&C\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta}\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&C\varepsilon^{\theta}(\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^l u_L\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

Since $\bar{\alpha}<0$ by the Poincare-like inequality, we have

$$c\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}\leq\|u_H\|_{H^l}\leq C\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}\leq\|\Lambda^{l-\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}.\tag{4.16}$$

From (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}^2+m\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big)+C(\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}^2+m\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2))\\
\leq&C\varepsilon^{\theta}(\|\Lambda^l u_L\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

For $\varepsilon<<1$, thus there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}^2+m\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2\big)+C(\|\Lambda^{l-1}u_H\|_{L^2}^2+m\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2)\leq c\|\Lambda^l u_L\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{4.18}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, (4.9) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2}^2\\
\leq&e^{-Ct}\|u_0\|_{H^l}^2+c\int_0^t e^{-C(t-\tau)}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{l}{\alpha}}\Big(\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2+M_1(t)^{2(\theta+1)}\Big)d\tau\\
\leq&c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{l}{\alpha}}\Big(\|u_0\|_{H^l}^2+\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2+M_1(t)^{2(\theta+1)}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

Now we have derived a priori estimates for the case $\alpha\geq 1$,

$$\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\big(\|u_0\|_{L^1}+\|u_0\|_{H^l}+M_1(t)^{\theta+1}\big).\tag{4.20}$$

Thus

$$M_1(t)\leq C\big(\|u_0\|_{L^1}+\|u_0\|_{H^s}+M_1(t)^{\theta+1}\big)\tag{4.21}$$

under the assumption (4.11). Therefore, by the continuity argument, for $\|u_0\|_{H^s}+\|u_0\|_1$ sufficiently small, we have for $0 \le l \le s, s > \frac{n}{2}$,

$$M_1(t) \le c(\|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_1). \tag{4.22}$$

4.2 A priori estimates for $0 < \alpha < 1$

For the case that $0 < \alpha < 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} E(t)^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{s}{2\bar\alpha}]-1} \sup_{0\le y\le t} (1+y)^{j\bar\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\bar\alpha} \|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u_H(y)\|^2_{H^{s-2j\bar\alpha}}, \\ L(t)^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{s}{2\bar\alpha}]-1} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{j\bar\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\bar\alpha} \|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u_H(\tau)\|^2_{H^{s-2j\bar\alpha}} d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$M_2(t)^2 = \sup_{0\le\beta\le l} \sup_{0\le y\le t} (1+y)^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^\beta u(y)\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{4.24}$$

Since $([\frac{s}{2\bar\alpha}]-1)\bar\alpha > \max\{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\bar\alpha, \frac{n}{2}\}$, from Lemma 2.3 and the definition of $E(t)$ and $M_2(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{1-a} \|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^a \\ &\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{1-a} \big(\|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u_H\|_{L^2}^a + \|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u_L\|_{L^2}^a\big) \\ &\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{1-a} \big(\|\Lambda^{j\bar\alpha} u_H\|_{L^2}^a + \|u_L\|_{L^2}^a\big) \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}(1-a)} M_2(t)^{1-a} [(1+t)^{(-\frac{j\bar\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\bar\alpha)a} E(t)^a + C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}a} M_2(t)^a] \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}} (M_2(t) + E(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $0 < a < 1$ and $([\frac{s}{2\bar\alpha}]-1)\bar\alpha \ge j\bar\alpha > \max\{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\bar\alpha, \frac{n}{2}\}$. Similar to $\alpha \ge 1$, we need to estimate I_0, I_1, I_2 in (4.3) for $0 < \alpha < 1$. From Lemma 3.1 and (4.25), it gives

$$\|\Lambda^l I_0\|_{L^2} \le C\|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t)\|_{L^2} \|u_0\|_{L^1} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \|u_0\|_{L^1}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^l I_1\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Lambda^l G_L(x,t-\tau) * (u^{\theta+1})\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta-1} d\tau \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_2(t)^2 (M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l I_2\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|G_L(x,t-\tau)*(\Lambda^l\big(u^{\theta+1}\big))\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\Lambda^l\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}(\theta+1)-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta}d\tau\\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.28}
$$

From (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28), it follows

$$
\|\Lambda^l u_L\|_{L^2}\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\big(\|u_0\|_{L^1}+(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta+1}\big). \tag{4.29}
$$

Now we give some estimates about $u_H(x,t)$ for $0<\alpha<1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_H(x,t) &= G_H(x,t)*u_0+\int_0^t G_H(t-\tau)*B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau\\
&= G_H(x,t)*u_0+\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} G_H(t-\tau)*B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau\\
&\quad+\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t G_H(t-\tau)*B^{-1}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)d\tau\\
&= J_0+J_1+J_2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.30}
$$

In (3.14), let $\beta_1=\bar{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{l}{\alpha})$ and $s\ge l+\beta_1$, then it holds

$$
\|\Lambda^l J_0\|_{L^2}\le(1+t)^{-\frac{\beta_1}{2\bar{\alpha}}}\|\Lambda^{\beta_1+l}u_0\|_{L^2}\le(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\|u_0\|_{H^s}. \tag{4.31}
$$

For $\beta_1+l-2\le 0$, from Lemma 3.2 and (4.25), similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l J_1\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}\|G_H(x,t-\tau)*\Lambda^l\big(B^{-1}(u^{\theta+1})\big)\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{\beta_1}{2\bar{\alpha}}}\||\xi|^{\beta_1+l-2}\big(\mathcal{F}(u^{\theta+1})\big)\|_{L^2(|\xi|\ge 2R)}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta}\|u\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C(1+t/2)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}(1+\tau)^{\frac{(\theta+1)n}{4\alpha}}M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E)^{\theta}d\tau\\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}}M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.32}
$$

For $0 < \beta_1 + l - 2 \le ([\frac{s}{2\bar{\alpha}}] - 1)\bar{\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l J_1\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|G_H(x,t-\tau) * \Lambda^l\big(B^{-1}(u^{\theta+1})\big)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{\beta_1}{2\bar{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{\beta_1+l-2}\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+\frac{t}{2})^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta} (\|\Lambda^{l+\beta_1-2}u_L\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{l+\beta_1-2}u_H\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta} (\|u_L\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{l+\beta_1-2}u_H\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} (M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^l J_2\|_{L^2} &\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|G_H(x,t-\tau) * (\Lambda^l\big(B^{-1}u^{\theta+1}\big))\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|\Lambda^l\big(B^{-1}u^{\theta+1}\big)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|\Lambda^l\big(u^{\theta+1}\big)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\theta} \|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}(\theta+1)-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta} d\tau \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} M_2(t)(M_2(t)+E(t))^{\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

From (4.31)-(4.34), then it gives

$$\|\Lambda^l u_H\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \big(\|u_0\|_{H^s} + (M_2(t)+E(t))^{(\theta+1)}\big). \tag{4.35}$$

Thus by (4.29) and (4.34), then we have

$$\|\Lambda^l u\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4\alpha}-\frac{l}{2\alpha}} \big(\|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1})(M_2(t)+E(t))^{(\theta+1)}\big). \tag{4.36}$$

In addition, (4.10) can be rewritten as the following

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u_H}{\partial t} + B^{-1}(-\Delta)u_H = B^{-1}(1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ u_H(0,x) = u_{H0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{4.37}$$

For $0<\alpha<1$, we claim that for $0\le k\le [\frac{s}{2\bar{\alpha}}]-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{(k-\frac{1}{2})\bar{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^{k\bar{\alpha}}u\|^2_{H^{s-2k\bar{\alpha}}}+c\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{(k-\frac{1}{2})\bar{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^{(k+1)\bar{\alpha}}u\|^2_{H^{s-2(k+1)\bar{\alpha}}}d\tau\\ \le& c\|u_0\|^2_{H^s}+c(M_2(t)^2+E(t)^2)^\theta(M_2(t)^2+L(t)^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.38}$$

From (4.1), (4.12) and (4.15), let $l=s$, recall that

$$s-\bar{\alpha}\ge\bar{\alpha},\|\Lambda^{s-\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}\ge c\|\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2},$$

it yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\Lambda^{s-1}u_H\|^2_{L^2}+m\|\Lambda^s u_H\|^2_{L^2}+\|u_H\|^2_{L^2}+m\|\Lambda u_H\|^2_{L^2}\big)+C\|\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2\bar{\alpha}}}\\ \le& c\|u\|^\theta_{L^\infty}(\|u_L\|^2_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{s-1}u_H\|^2_{L^2}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.39}$$

Multiply (4.39) by $(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}$, integrate with respect to t, then

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}(\|u_H\|^2_{H^s}+\|u_H\|^2_{H^{s-1}})+c\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}}\|\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2\bar{\alpha}}}d\tau\\ \le& c\|u_0\|^2_{H^s}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}-1}(\|u_H\|^2_{H^s}+\|u_H\|^2_{H^{s-1}})d\tau\\ &+c\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}}\|u\|^\theta_{L^\infty}(\|u_L\|^2_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{s-1}u_H\|^2_{L^2})d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{4.40}$$

Since $\bar{\alpha}>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}(\|u_H\|^2_{H^s}+\|u_H\|^2_{H^{s-1}})+c\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}}\|\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2\bar{\alpha}}}d\tau\\ \le& c\|u_0\|^2_{H^s}+c\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}}\|u\|^\theta_{L^\infty}(\|u_L\|^2_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^{s-1}u_H\|^2_{L^2})d\tau\\ \le& c\|u_0\|^2_{H^s}+c\Big(M_2(t)^2+L(t)^2\Big)\Big(M_2(t)+E(t)\Big)^\theta.\end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

Thus for $k=0$, (4.38) holds.

Multipling (4.37) by $\Lambda^{2\beta}u$, and integrating with respect to x, by Lemma 2.3 and (4.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^\beta u\|^2+\|\frac{|\xi|^{\beta+\alpha}}{(1+m|\xi|^2)^{1/2}}\hat{u}_H\|^2_{L^2}&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}B^{-1}(1-\chi(D))u^{\theta+1}\Lambda^{2\beta}u_H dx\\ &\le c\|\Lambda^\beta u^{\theta+1}\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda^\beta u_H\|_{L^2}\\ &\le c\|u\|^\theta_{L^\infty}\Big(\|\Lambda^\beta u_L\|^2_{L^2}+\|\Lambda^\beta u_H\|^2_{L^2}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

For $|\xi| \geq 2R$ and $\beta \geq \bar{\alpha}$, there exists a constant c such that

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2\beta+2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2} \geq c(1+|\xi|^{2\beta+2\alpha-2}),$$

then

$$\|\frac{|\xi|^{2\beta+2\alpha}}{1+m|\xi|^2}\hat{u}_H\|_{L^2} \geq c\|u_H\|_{H^{\alpha+\beta-1}}, \tag{4.43}$$

thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^\beta u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|u_H\|_{H^{\beta+\alpha-1}}^2 \leq c\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \Big(\|\Lambda^\beta u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big). \tag{4.44}$$

Recall that $s > 2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}$, $|\xi| \geq 2r$, it holds then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_H\|_{H^{s-(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}}^2 \geq \|\Lambda^{s-(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\| &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|^2) \\ &\geq C\|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Let $\beta = s - j\bar{\alpha}$ in (4.44), from (4.45), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}}^2 \\ \leq& c\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \Big(\|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big) \\ \leq& c\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \Big(\|u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

Multiplying (4.46) by $(1+t)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}$ and integrating with respect to t, from

$$\|\Lambda^{j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2j\bar{\alpha}}}^2 \geq \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2 \geq c\|\Lambda^{j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2j\bar{\alpha}}}^2,$$

we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^{j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2j\bar{\alpha}}}^2 + c\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \Big(\|u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)d\tau \\ &+ (j\bar{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha} - 1)\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}-1}\|\Lambda^{j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{H^{s-2j\bar{\alpha}}}^2 d\tau + c\|u_0\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^\theta \Big(\|u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)d\tau \\ &\leq c(M_2(t)+E(t))^\theta \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n\theta}{4\alpha}}(1+\tau)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}}\Big(\|u_L\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{s-j\bar{\alpha}}u_H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)d\tau \\ &\leq c\Big(M_2(t)^2 + L(t)^2\Big)\Big(M_2(t)+E(t)\Big)^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

If $1 \le k = j \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}$, by (4.47) and (4.48), (4.38) is obviously true.

If $k \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}$, assume that for $k = j$, (4.38) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (1+t)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}} u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}} d\tau \\ \le & c\Big(M_2(t)^2 + L(t)^2\Big)\Big(M_2(t) + E(t)\Big)^{\theta} + c\|u_0\|^2_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

and from

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}-1} \|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}} u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}} d\tau \\ \le & c\int_0^t (1+t)^{j\bar{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{(j+1)\bar{\alpha}} u_H\|^2_{H^{s-2(j+1)\bar{\alpha}}} d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

it is easy to see that (4.38) is proved for $k = j + 1$. The general case can be shown by using an induction argument. Let $Y(t)^2 = E(t)^2 + L(t)^2 + M_2(t)^2$, from (4.35) and (4.38) we have

$$Y(t)^2 \le c(\|u\|^2_{L^1} + \|u_0\|^2_{H^s}) + cY(t)^{2\theta+2} + cY(t)^{\theta+1}.$$

For $\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_0\|_{H^s}$ sufficiently small, by continuity, we have

$$Y(t) \le CE_0. \tag{4.51}$$

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

The local existence of (1.1) can be proved by using the standard method based on the successive approximation sequence, we omit its details. Then combining the local solution with estimates (4.22) and (4.51), we obtain the global existence of the solution to the Cauchy problem for $\alpha > 0$ if E_0 is sufficiently small. This proves Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

References

[1] Brill H. A semilinear Sobolev evolution equation in a Banach space[J]. Journal of Differential Equations, 1977, 24(3): 412-425.

[2] Barenblatt G I, Zheltov I P, Kochina I N. Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks[J]. Journal of applied mathematics and mechanics, 1960, 24(5): 1286-1303.

[3] Colton D, Wimp J. Asymptotic behaviour of the fundamental solution to the equation of heat conduction in two temperatures[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1979, 69(2): 411-418.

[4] Chu C M, Sun J J, Suo H M. Multiplicity of Positive Solutions for Critical Fractional Equation Involving Concave-Convex Nonlinearities and Sign-Changing Weight Functions[J]. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 2016: 1-10.

[5] Cao Y, Yin J, Wang C. Cauchy problems of semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations[J]. Journal of Differential Equations, 2009, 246(12): 4568-4590.

[6] Cao Y, Yin J. Small perturbation of a semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation [J]. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems -Series A, 2016, 36(2): 631-642.

[7] Deng S, Wang W, Zhao H. Existence theory and L^p estimates for the solution of nonlinear viscous wave equation[J]. Nonlinear Analysis Real World Applications, 2010, 11(5): 4404-4414.

[8] Duan R, Strain RM. Optimal largetime behavior of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in the whole space. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematic[J]. 2011, 64(11): 1497-546.

[9] Duan R, Ruan L, Zhu C. Optimal decay rates to conservation laws with diffusion-type terms of regularity-gain and regularity-loss[J]. Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences. 2012, 22(07): 1250012.

[10] Favini A, Yagi A. Degenerate differential equations in Banach spaces[M]. CRC Press, 1998.

[11] Gopala Rao V R, Ting T W. Solutions of pseudo-heat equations in the whole space[J]. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 1972, 49(1): 57-78.

[12] Guo B, Han Y, Xin J. Existence of the global smooth solution to the period boundary value problem of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation[J]. Applied Mathematics Computation, 2008, 204(1): 468-477.

[13] Henry D. Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations[M]. 1981.

[14] Ide K, Haramoto K, Kawashima S. Decay property of regularity-loss type for dissipative Timoshenko system[J]. Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences. 2008, 18(05): 647-67.

[15] Ju N. Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution to the Dissipative 2D Quasi-Geostrophic Equations in the Sobolev Space[J]. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 2004, 251(2): 365-376.

[16] Korpusov M O, Sveshnikov A G. Three-dimensional nonlinear evolution equations of pseudoparabolic type in problems of mathematical physics[J]. Zhurnal Vychislitel'noi Matematiki i Matematicheskoi Fiziki, 2003, 43(12): 1835-1869.

[17] Korpusov M O, Sveshnikov A G. Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear Sobolev type equations with cubic sources[J]. Differential Equations, 2006, 42(3): 431-443.

[18] Kilbas, A. A, Srivastava, H. M, Trujillo, J. J. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Volume 204 (North-Holland Mathematics Studies)[M]. Elsevier, 2006.

[19] Kaikina E I, Naumkin P I, Shishmarev I A. The Cauchy problem for the Sobolev type equation with power non-linearity[J]. Izvestiia Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk-Seriia Matematitcheskaia, 2005, 69(1): 61-114.

[20] Karch G. Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to some pseudoparabolic equations[J]. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 1997, 20(3): 271-289.

[21] Kwek K H, Qu C C. Alternative principle for pseudo-parabolic equations[J]. Dynamic Systems and Applications, 1996, 5: 211-218.

[22] Levine H A. Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$ [J]. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 1973, 51(5): 371-386.

[23] Ptashnyk M. Degenerate quasilinear pseudoparabolic equations with memory terms and variational inequalities[J]. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods Applications, 2007, 66(12): 2653-2675.

[24] Podlubny I. Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Integrals, and Fractional Differential Equations in Matlab[M]. 2011.

[25] Pu X, Guo B, Zhang J, et al. Global weak solutions to the 1-D fractional Landau-Lifshitz equation[J]. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B, 2010, 14(1): 199-207.

[26] Sviridyuk G A, Fedorov V E. Analytic semigroups with kernel and linear equations of Sobolev type[J]. Siberian Mathematical Journal, 1995, 36(5): 973-987.

[27] Showalter R E, Ting T W. Pseudoparabolic partial differential equations[J]. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 1970, 1(1): 1-26.

[28] Strichartz R. Multipliers on fractional Sobolev spaces[J]. 1031.mr.zbl, 1967, 16(2): 241-246.

[29] Ting T W. Parabolic and pseudo-parabolic partial differential equations[J]. Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 1969, 21(3): 440-453.

[30] Triebel H. Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators[J]. 1995.

[31] Tarasov V. Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media[M]. 2011.

[32] Zhang S. Existence of positive solutions for some class of nonlinear fractional equation[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2003, 278(1): 136-148.